

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs

Case No: 06-20366
Honorable Victoria A. Roberts

D-1 ANTHONY MICHAEL HACHEM,

Defendant.

**ORDER STRIKING *PRO SE* FILINGS BY
A REPRESENTED PARTY**

Defendant Anthony Michael Hachem has submitted a Request for Inspection of Federal Grand Jury Minutes and a Request for Bill of Particulars in Pro Se.

Federal law permits a criminal defendant to appear “personally or by counsel.” 28 U.S.C. §1654. This right is “disjunctive; a party may either represent himself or appear through an attorney.” Hall v Dorsey, 534 F. Supp. 507, 508 (E.D. Pa 1982).

There is no right, constitutional or otherwise, to “hybrid representation - the representation at the same time by counsel and *pro se*.” United States v Trapnell, 638 F. 2d 1016, 1027 (7th Cir. 1980). Therefore, as part of the latitude accorded district courts in managing their dockets, courts may bar *pro se* filings by represented parties. United States v Agofsky, 20 F. 3d 866, 872 (8th Cir. 1994) (finding no error in the court’s refusal to consider *pro se* motion where defendant was represented by counsel); United States v Tracy, 989 F. 2d 1279, 1285 (1st Cir. 1993) (“A district court enjoys wide

latitude in managing its docket and can require represented parties to present motions through counsel.”)

Accordingly, Defendant’s above named requests are **STRICKEN** and forwarded to defendant’s counsel without further consideration. Future filings are similarly barred so long as defendant continues to be presented by counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Victoria A. Roberts
Victoria A. Roberts
United States District Judge

Dated: October 19, 2006

The undersigned certifies that a copy of this document was served on the attorneys of record by electronic means or U.S. Mail on October 19, 2006.

S/Carol A. Pinegar
Deputy Clerk